



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/561,902

01/09/2006

Roland Schule

033-004

5822

36844

7590

06/29/2009

CERMAK KENEALY VAIDYA & NAKAJIMA LLP
515 E. BRADDOCK RD
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

HIRIYANNA, KELAGINAMANE T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1633

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/29/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ACERMAK@CKVNLaw.COM
CGOODE@CKVNLaw.COM
PTADMIN@CKVNLAW.COM

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/561,902	Applicant(s) SCHULE ET AL.	
	Examiner KELAGINAMANE T. HIRIYANNA	Art Unit 1633	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KELAGINAMANE T. HIRIYANNA.

(3) ROBERT KELLY.

(2) JOSEPH WOITACH.

(4) SHELLY CERMAK.

Date of Interview: 28 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-6.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prior at rjections of record are discussed. Applicant argues that the instant invetion is drawn to in vivo action of FHL2. Examiners argue that instant claims only recite in vitro steps. Applicant agrees and is considering to amend the claims in a forth coming filing to reflect in vivo action of compounds on FHL2..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Robert M Kelly/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633